

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)
 333 Main Street
 Armonk, NY 10504
 Tel: (914) 749-8200
 dboies@bsfllp.com

Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165
 Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No.
 238027
 Erika Nyborg-Burch, CA Bar No. 342125
 44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Tel.: (415) 293-6800
 mmao@bsfllp.com
 brichardson@bsfllp.com
 enyborg-burch@bsfllp.com

James Lee (admitted pro hac vice)
 Rossana Baeza (admitted pro hac vice)
 100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor
 Miami, FL 33131
 Tel.: (305) 539-8400
 jlee@bsfllp.com
 rbaeza@bsfllp.com

Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334
 725 S Figueroa St., 31st Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90017
 Tel.: (213) 995-5720
 alanderson@bsfllp.com

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

Bill Carmody (admitted pro hac vice)
 Shawn J. Rabin (admitted pro hac vice)
 Steven M. Shepard (admitted pro hac vice)
 Alexander Frawley (admitted pro hac vice)
 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
 New York, NY 10019
 Tel.: (212) 336-8330
 bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
 srabin@susmangodfrey.com
 sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
 afrawley@susmangodfrey.com

Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891
 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 Tel.: (310) 789-3100
 abonn@susmangodfrey.com

MORGAN & MORGAN

John A. Yanchunis (admitted pro hac vice)
 Ryan J. McGee (admitted pro hac vice)
 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
 Tampa, FL 33602
 Tel.: (813) 223-5505
 jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
 rmcgee@forthepeople.com

Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
 711 Van Ness Ave, Suite 500
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Tel: (415) 358-6913
 mram@forthepeople.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,
 JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
 CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO
 individually and on behalf of all others
 similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No.: 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
 GOOGLE'S MOTION TO STRIKE (DKT.
 693)**

Judge: Hon. Susan van Keulen

1 Before the Court is Google's Motion to Strike Exhibit A to the Mao Declaration in
 2 Support of Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion for Relief (Dkt. 693) ("Google's Motion"). For the
 3 reasons set forth below, the Court **DENIES** Google's Motion.

4 On August 8, 2022, Plaintiffs filed an administrative motion seeking relief tied to
 5 Google's belated production of non-privileged documents, including for example one document
 6 where a Google employee admitted that users do not "really understand what they're consenting
 7 to when they consent to share browsing history." Dkt. 672 (citing Dkt. 671-15). Plaintiffs
 8 attached to their administrative motion a chart ("Exhibit A") (Dkt. 671-3) listing 15 examples of
 9 non-privileged documents that Google had withheld.

10 Google now seeks to strike the *entirety* of this Exhibit A chart, contending that the "*entire*
 11 *chart* embeds misleading attorney characterizations of the discovery record, and contains self-
 12 serving conclusions." Dkt. 693 at 4 (emphasis added)). Google's argument is simply incorrect.
 13 Columns one through four of the Exhibit A Chart consist of black-and-white facts about the
 14 documents, including their Bates numbers, authors, and specific quotes contained therein.
 15 Column five contains direct quotes from prior Google filings. There is no basis for Google's
 16 Motion to strike the entire chart. Google's Motion is therefore denied.

17
 18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19
 20 Dated: _____

 21 The Honorable Susan van Keulen
 22 United States Magistrate Judge
 23
 24
 25
 26